

Council Chambers
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Monday, September 13, 2010
at 6:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Clark, Dubois, Heidt, Hill, Lorje, Neault,
Paulsen, Penner, Pringle, and Wyant;
City Manager Totland;
City Solicitor Dust;
General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek;
General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;
City Clerk Mann; and
Council Assistant Mitchener

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the minutes of meeting of City Council held on August 18, 2010, be approved.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Hill as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 2**

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 14-2010

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Land Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between August 5, 2010 to September 1, 2010
(For Information Only)
(Files CK. 4000-5, PL. 4132, PL. 4350, and PL. 4300)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

- Application No. 8/10: 2720 Main Street (44 Units – New)
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Riverbend Developments Ltd.
Legal Description: Parcel CC, Plan 102035034
Current Zoning: RM3
Neighbourhood: Greystone Heights
Date Received: August 6, 2010

Rezoning

- Application No. Z23/10: 902 7th Avenue North
Applicant: Meridian Development Corporation
Legal Description: Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Plan 99SA06423
Current Zoning: R2
Proposed Zoning: M2 by Agreement
Neighbourhood: City Park
Date Received: August 30, 2010

Subdivision

- Application No. 56/10: 129 – 113th Street West
Applicant: Larson Surveys for Bridgewater Developments Ltd.
Legal Description: Lot 41, Block 5, Plan 101380935 and
Part of Lot 24, Block 5, Plan I5611
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Sutherland
Date Received: August 5, 2010

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010

PAGE 3

- Application No. 57/10: 21 Knowles Place
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Keith and Sharon Corey
Legal Description: Part of Lane 5, Lot 49, Block 626, Plan 68S11596
Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Westview
Date Received: August 10, 2010

- Application No. 58/10: Jackson Avenue and Park Avenue
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Toon's Holdings Inc.
Legal Description: Lot A, Block 211A, Plan 69S20536
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Holliston
Date Received: August 11, 2010

- Application No. 59/10: Stonebridge Boulevard and Cope Crescent
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Dundee Realty Corporation
Legal Description: Parcel A, Plan 101390655
Current Zoning: IB
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: August 11, 2010

- Application No. 60/10: 844 51st Street East
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Wallace, Meschishnick, Clackson,
and Zawada
Legal Description: Part of Lot 7, Block 3, Plan 61S08927
Current Zoning: IH
Neighbourhood: Hudson Bay Industrial
Date Received: August 11, 2010

- Application No. 61/10: Marquis Industrial Phase 4 and 5
Applicant: George, Nicholson, Franko and Associates Ltd. for
The City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Part of Plan 1020031186
Current Zoning: IL2
Neighbourhood: Marquis Industrial
Date Received: August 12, 2010

- Application No. 62/10: 301 Clarence Avenue South
Applicant: Altus Geomatics for Orion Morgan
Legal Description: Lots 31 and 32, Block 2, Plan F5527
Current Zoning: RM3
Neighbourhood: Varsity View
Date Received: August 16, 2010

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010

PAGE 4

- Application No.63/10: 202 - 206 Portage Avenue
Applicant: George, Nicholson, Franko and Associates Ltd. for
City of Saskatoon and Solar Enterprises Ltd.
Legal Description: Lots 1 and 2, Block 533, Plan 66S18566
Current Zoning: IL1
Neighbourhood: CN Industrial
Date Received: August 26, 2010

- Application No. 64/10: Piggot Crescent and Brodsky Avenue
Applicant: George, Nicholson, Franko and Associates Ltd. for
City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Lot 3, Block 274; Lot 4, Block 275; Lot 4, Block 277;
Lot 3, Block 278 and Lot 4, Block 279;
Plan 102031186
Current Zoning: IH2
Neighbourhood: Marquis Industrial Phase 5
Date Received: August 26, 2010

- Application No.65/10 : Mahabir Court and Crescent and Way
Applicant: Meridian Surveys Ltd. for City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Blocks 628 - 631; Parcel N and MB7
Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Evergreen Phase 3
Date Received: August 26, 2010

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 8/10
2. Plan of Proposed Rezoning Z23/10
3. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 56/10
4. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 57/10
5. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 58/10
6. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 59/10
7. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 60/10
8. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 61/10
9. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 62/10

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 5**

10. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 63/10
11. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 64/10
12. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 65/10

**A2) Naming Report – “Evergreen and Rosewood Street Names”
(Files CK. 6310-1 and PL. 4001-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The street name plans for the Evergreen and Rosewood neighbourhoods were provided to the Naming Advisory Committee Administrator from the Land Branch and Boychuk Investments Ltd. (see Attachments 1 and 2).

The Land Branch has made a request for names to be applied to five streets in Evergreen. His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison has selected five names from the Names Master List, which are indicated on the attached plan. The names selected comprise:

- a) Arscott – William and Sarah Arscott – Mr. Arscott was the Director of the Rotary Clubs of Saskatoon, the Saskatoon Exhibition Board, Saskatoon Club, and Salvation Army. Mrs. Arscott was a Chief Accountant Clerk with the Canadian Pacific Railway;
- b) Glacial Shores – Glacial Shores – The name Glacial Shores reflects the physical evidence of glacial activity in and around Saskatoon. The name is general enough to encompass both the land and water formations which were present during that period;
- c) Kloppenburg – Henry Kloppenburg – Mr. Kloppenburg is a graduate of the University of Saskatchewan and Oxford University (Rhodes Scholar). Mr. Kloppenburg was a law clerk to Honorable Justice E.M. Hall of the Supreme Court of Canada and then commenced private practice;
- d) Manek – Raj Manek – Mr. Manek was very involved with business development in the community and Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority; and
- e) Salloum – Bert Salloum – Mr. Salloum was Commissioner of the Board of Trade from 1967 to 1987 and was a recipient of numerous awards, notably an award from the Canadian Chamber Executives, and a Canada 125 Commemorative Medal.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010

PAGE 6

Boychuk Investments Ltd. has made a request that the names “Flegel” and “Gillies” be applied to streets in Rosewood. His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison has selected the names Flegel and Gillies, as requested by Boychuk Investments Ltd.

- a) Flegel – Ms. Flegel – Ms. Flegel moved to Saskatoon in 1943 and opened a residence, Flegel Haus, for University of Saskatchewan students; and
- b) Gillies – John A. Gillies – Mr. Gillies was the grandson of former Councillor F.A. Blain who owned land in the North Industrial Area and served in the Canadian Armed Forces as a Captain. In 1964, Mr. Gillies leased part of the east bank of the South Saskatchewan River, near Herman Avenue, to run a toboggan slide. In 1974, Mr. Gillies was the Warden in charge of the Department of National Defence’s Saskatoon Rifle Range, present day Chief Whitecap Park.

According to City of Saskatoon Policy C09-008 (Naming of Civic Property and Development Areas), all requests for street names from the Names Master List will be selected by the Mayor. All of the names on the Names Master List have been previously screened by the Naming Advisory Committee and meet City Council’s guidelines for name selection.

His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison notified the Naming Advisory Committee Administrator of the names selected. The selections of His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison were forwarded to the Land Branch and Boychuk Investments Ltd., and the families have been notified.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATION

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Map of Evergreen Street Names
2. Map of Rosewood Street Names

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) Highlight Colour Printing Equipment Replacement
(Files CK. 1005-1, CS. 1000-1 and CS. 1005-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by Xerox Canada Ltd., for the five-year lease of a Xerox DocuTech 180 Highlight Color System at a net cost of \$285,550.60, the end of lease buyout option at a net cost of \$42,112.35 and an estimated five-year net operating cost of \$184,742.77 be accepted; and
 - 2) that the Corporate Services Department, Purchasing Services, issue the appropriate purchase order.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The computer operations section of the Corporate Information Services Branch prints utility bills, tax notices, assessment notices and various other forms and reports that result in approximately 4,000,000 printed impressions per year. Approximately 3,000,000 of these impressions are highlight colour (green and black). The current highlight colour system has been in place for over five years. The maintenance agreement for this equipment expires at the end of this year and the City will not be able to extend this agreement.

REPORT

City of Saskatoon Purchasing Services recently issued Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 10-0551 with the objective to select the most qualified vendor to supply, install and maintain a highlight colour printer over a five year period. Proposals were received from Success Office Systems and Xerox Canada Ltd.

The proposal from Success Office Systems was rejected because it failed to meet one of the mandatory items. The proposal from Xerox Canada Ltd. meets all mandatory items and includes costs that are within the current operating budget.

OPTIONS

There are no alternatives as the current highlight colour printing system has reached the end of its useful life, is experiencing breakdowns, requires continuous maintenance and will no longer be supported beyond the end of this year.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications with respect to this purchase.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 8**

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are adequate funds in the approved 2010 Operating Budget for the lease and maintenance of this highlight colour printer. This funding would be required in future operating budgets. A breakdown of the estimated five-year lease and operating costs are indicated below:

Five-year lease cost	\$271,952.95
End of lease buy-out option	40,107.00
Five-year operating cost (estimate)	175,945.50
G.S.T. @ 5%	24,400.27
P.S.T. @ 5%	<u>24,400.27</u>
Total Cost to the City	\$536,805.99
G.S.T. Rebate (100%)	<u>24,400.27</u>
Net Cost to the City	\$512,405.72

The estimated annual cost is \$94,058.67.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**B2) Annual Report 2009
Canadian Award for Financial Reporting
(Files CK. 1895-3, CS. 369-1 and CS. 1895-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Attached for City Council's information, is the 2009 City of Saskatoon Annual Report which includes the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ending December 31, 2009. The financial statements were approved by City Council at its meeting August 18, 2010, however, due to the timing of the required legislative approvals for the statements, the annual report, which normally incorporates these statements, had to be delayed to complete the printing process.

Included in the annual report is the recognition of The Government Finance Officers' Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) *Canadian Award for Financial Reporting* for the City of Saskatoon's 2008 annual financial report. The *Canadian Award for Financial Reporting* program was established to encourage municipal governments throughout Canada to publish high quality financial reports and to provide peer recognition and technical guidance for officials preparing these reports. Its attainment represents a significant accomplishment by a government and its management.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 9**

The award was based on the City's submission of its 2008 financial statement. The 2009 financial statements incorporated the same principles included in the 2008 statement, as well as any recommended improvements made by the review committee. The annual report was judged by an impartial Canadian Review Committee to meet the high standards of the program, including demonstrating a constructive "spirit of full disclosure" designed to clearly communicate the municipality's financial story and to motivate potential users and user groups to read the report.

The financial statements were prepared by the Finance Branch of the Corporate Services Department, in conjunction with the Annual Report prepared by the Communications Branch of the City Manager's Office.

It is the seventh time the City of Saskatoon has received this award and the third time in as many years.

The GFOA is a non-profit professional association serving 17,300 government finance professionals in the United States and Canada with offices in Chicago, Illinois and Washington, D.C.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. 2009 City of Saskatoon Annual Report.

**B3) Enquiry – Councillor M. Heidt (March 1, 2010)
Licensing of Bicycles
(Files CK. 306-1 and CS. 300-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation of the speaker. See Page No. 65.

Section C – FIRE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES

**C1) Fire Service Agreements
English River Enterprises Inc.
Town of Delisle
Whitecap Dakota First Nation
R.M. of Corman Park
(File No. CK. 2500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City of Saskatoon enter into new Fire Service Agreements with the above-mentioned municipalities in accordance with negotiated terms; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate Agreements.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The City of Saskatoon currently has Fire Service Agreements with each of the above municipalities which have expired and/or require revisions. All parties wish to enter into new Agreements based on satisfactorily negotiated terms.

REPORT

The revisions required to each Agreement are outlined below:

English River Enterprises Inc. - There are no changes with respect to fees, but they will be required to increase their public liability insurance policy from \$4,000,000.00 to \$5,000,000.00 making it consistent with all other Fire Service Agreements as indicated below. The terms of the Agreement also needs to be extended.

Town of Delisle - There are no changes with respect to fees, just an extension required for the term of the Agreement.

Whitecap Dakota First Nation - The fee for the lease of the Vehicle shall increase from \$618.00 to \$800.00 per month. All other terms remain the same. The 2007 amendment will be incorporated into the new service agreement.

R.M. of Corman Park - The Fire Service Agreement was renewed in 2010. An Amending Agreement is now required to address lands recently annexed by the City of Saskatoon.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 11**

All Agreements will be modeled after the existing fire service agreements including provision of the following:

- a) Firefighting services;
- b) Dangerous goods response services; and,
- c) Rescue services.

The SFPS Incident Commander in attendance at any incident shall have sole authority to do the following:

- a) Direct response activities at an incident;
- b) Determine if additional resources are required and to call for additional resources from SFPS if additional resources are required; and,
- c) Determine the appropriate point in time to turn control of an incident over to any other attending fire department(s).

The Agreements shall be in effect for three years and shall commence upon execution of the Agreement. At the end of the term, the agreement shall be automatically extended for a further year unless at least 60 days before the end of the term, either party gives notice terminating the Agreement.

These fees shall be payable by the respective parties within 30 days after receipt of the invoice from the City. The fees for service shall be in effect for the initial term of this Agreement and, thereafter, the rates will be set annually by the parties at least 60 days prior to the end of the term or any anniversary of it.

If any of the parties fail to pay the City as required in their Agreement, the City may give them seven days' notice to end the Agreement and unless the amount owing is paid before the notice runs out, the Agreement shall automatically end and the City's obligations shall cease.

The obligation of the City under the Agreements will be subject to the following conditions:

- a) The availability of firefighters and firefighting equipment; and
- b) The availability of suitable road access to the location of the emergency.

The decision whether to respond to any emergency is entirely within the discretion of Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services.

Response times may vary depending on location of the incident and road or weather conditions at the time. Delayed response time by the City does not constitute negligence or any other actionable cause against the City.

Each municipality shall maintain in full force and effect for the duration of their Agreement a public liability insurance policy in an amount of not less than \$5,000,000.00 per occurrence and shall provide proof of the existence of such insurance to the City.

Modifications to any of the Agreements shall only be made by a written supplemental agreement signed by both parties.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**E1) Post Budget Approval
Capital Project 1405 – Land Development - Evergreen
Reroute of Power Line
(File No. CK. 4110-41, x CK. 1815-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that a post budget increase of \$1,051,000 to Capital Project 1405 – Land Development – Evergreen, for the reroute of the QE18 - 138 kV power line along Lowe Road be approved; and
 - 2) that the post-budget increase be funded from the Property Realized Reserve.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Capital Project 1405 – Land Development - Evergreen includes approved funding in the amount of \$484,000 for the reroute of the QE18 – 138kV power line which currently traverses the Evergreen neighbourhood. This overhead line, which is owned and maintained by the Saskatchewan Power Corporation (Sask Power), is part of the major high voltage system that provides electrical service to the City of Saskatoon. The concept plan for the Evergreen neighbourhood recognized this line for relocation, and as a result, a preliminary route for the power line was identified and included within the 2009 Capital Budget, although the extent of the reroute, type of material, timeline of the project, etc., were not known.

Projects of this nature are dealt with on a province-wide priority scale and normally transpire over a number of years from the initial design through to final construction. The route will be on the north side of Fedoruk and McOrmond Drive before extending south along Range Road 3045, a distance of 3.6 kilometres.

The design for the reroute was completed by Stantec Engineering and the Sask Power Transmission Group in Regina this summer, and two options were presented. Both options utilize steel poles that are either guy wired at non linear locations, or are free standing throughout the project. The free standing pole system was quoted at approximately \$3.0 million, while the guyed pole option was quoted at \$1.5 million. In reviewing the options, including the non linear locations where poles would be guyed, it was determined that the Sask Power standard guyed option was the most economical, with a manageable amount of land needed for the tethering of poles. A formal

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 13**

quote was received from Sask Power requesting a contribution of \$1,535,000 to proceed with the project.

The current timeline is for Sask Power to complete the final design this fall, order materials and begin the tendering process, with expected construction to begin in May of 2011.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The Administration is recommending a post budget increase to Capital Project 1405 – Land Development - Evergreen, in the amount of \$1,051,000, to be funded from the Property Realized Reserve. Adequate funding exists within the Reserve.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E2) Proposed Bylaw 8882
Closure of Right-of-Way
Walkway Between 342 and 346 Appleby Crescent and
143 and 147 Wardlow Crescent
(File CK. 6295-09-16)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw 8882 (Attachment 1).

ADOPTED.

REPORT

City Council, at its meeting held on November 30, 2009, during consideration of Matters Requiring Public Notice, considered a request for closure of the walkway adjacent to 342 and 346 Appleby Crescent and 143 and 147 Wardlow Crescent and resolved:

- “1) that the walkway adjacent to 342 and 346 Appleby Crescent and 143 and 147 Wardlow Crescent be closed;
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents, the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 14**

- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to Morgan and Wanda Wowchuk of 346 Appleby Crescent; and Michael and Bonnie Ezra of 147 Wardlow Crescent, for \$1,000 each.”

It should be noted that the report contained an error. The land will be sold to Morgan and Wanda Wowchuk of 346 Appleby Crescent; and Bonnie Magdalen Lalonde, Michael Drew LaLonde and Ezra John Lalonde of 147 Wardlow Crescent.

The Administration has received the Plan of Proposed Consolidation prepared by Webb Surveys, dated February 19, 2010. Saskatoon Light & Power, Infrastructure Services, SaskEnergy, SaskTel and Shaw Cable Systems G.P., have advised that they have existing facilities with easements within the area and approve the proposed closure. The Administration is, therefore, recommending that Bylaw 8882 be approved.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw 8882

**E3) Proposed Bylaw 8875
Closure of Right-of-Way
Portion of Public Right-of-Way Adjacent to 23 and 27 Crimp Place and
340 and 344 Fairmont Drive
(File CK. 6295-09-13)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw 8875 (Attachment 1).

ADOPTED.

REPORT

City Council, at its meeting held on November 16, 2009, during consideration of Matters Requiring Public Notice, considered a request for closure of the walkway adjacent to 23 and 27 Crimp Place and 340 and 344 Fairmont Drive and resolved:

- “1) that the walkway adjacent to 23 and 27 Crimp Place and 340 and 344 Fairmont Drive be closed;
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents, the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 15**

- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to the Saskatoon Housing Authority, owner of 340 and 344 Fairmont Drive and Quinton Matzner of 23 Crimp Place, for \$1,000.”

The Administration has received the Plan of Proposed Consolidation prepared by Webb Surveys, dated July 12, 2010, for the closure of the walkway. Infrastructure Services, SaskEnergy, SaskPower, SaskTel, and Shaw Cable Systems G.P have advised that they have existing facilities with easements within the area, and approve the proposed closure. The Administration is, therefore, recommending that Bylaw 8875, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 10) be approved.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Bylaw 8875, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 10)

**E4) Proposed Bylaw 8876
Closure of Right-of-Way
Portion of Public Right-of-Way Adjacent to 223 and 227 Hull Crescent
(File CK. 6295-09-15)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw 8876 (Attachment 1).

ADOPTED.

REPORT

City Council, at its meeting held on December 14, 2009, during consideration of Matters Requiring Public Notice, considered a request for closure of the walkway adjacent to 223 and 227 Hull Crescent and resolved:

- “1) that the walkway adjacent to 227 and 223 Hull Crescent be closed;
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 16**

- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold Greg Gardiner of 227 Hull Crescent and Thein Htaik of 223 Hull Crescent for \$1,000 each.”

Thein Htaik of 223 Hull Crescent has indicated he is no longer interested in purchasing a portion of the walkway, therefore the land will be sold to Greg Gardiner and Cynthia Michelle of 227 Hull Crescent.

The Administration has received the Plan of Proposed Consolidation prepared by Webb Surveys, dated May 31, 2010. Infrastructure Services and SaskPower have advised that they have existing facilities with easements within the area and approve the proposed closure. The Administration, therefore, is recommending that Bylaw 8876, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 11), be approved.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw 8876

**E5) Proposed Bylaw 8877
Closure of Right-of-Way
Portion of Public Right-of-Way Adjacent to 222 and 226 Cooper Crescent
and 3539 and 3543 Fairlight Drive
(File CK. 6295-09-18)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw 8877 (Attachment 1).

ADOPTED.

REPORT

City Council, at its meeting held on November 30, 2009, during consideration of Matters Requiring Public Notice, considered a request for closure of the walkway adjacent to 222 and 226 Cooper Crescent and 3539 and 3543 Fairlight Drive and resolved:

- “1) that the walkway adjacent to 222 and 226 Cooper Crescent and 3539 and 3543 Fairlight Drive be closed;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 17**

- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents, the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to Wade Burton, owner of 3543 Fairlight Drive; George and Mary Trickett of 3539 Fairlight Drive; and Steve and Leahann McMorrow of 222 Cooper Crescent, as indicated on Plan 240-0014-007r004 (Attachment 2), for \$1,000.”

George and Mary Trickett of 3539 Fairlight Drive have indicated that they are no longer interested in purchasing a portion of the walkway, therefore, the land will be sold to Wade Burton and Leahann and Steve McMorrow.

The Administration has received the Plan of Proposed Consolidation prepared by Webb Surveys, dated June 8, 2010. SaskEnergy, SaskPower, SaskTel, and Shaw Cable Systems G.P. have advised that they have existing facilities with easements within the area, and approve the proposed closure. The Administration is, therefore, recommending that Bylaw 8877, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 12) be approved.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw 8877, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 12).

**E6) Traffic Bridge Status Update
(Files CK. 6050-8 and IS. 6050-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation of the speakers. See Page No. 69.

Section F – UTILITY SERVICES

**F1) City of Saskatoon Natural Gas Purchase Strategy
(Files CK. 752-1 and WT. 1905-8)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

In mid-2009, the City contracted with Connect Energy to provide natural gas to civic facilities at a rate of \$5.77/GJ for the November 2009 through October 2010 gas year. The total cost of natural gas and delivery charges purchased under this contract was approximately \$2 million.

Natural gas prices have fallen since the 2009-2010 contract was signed. Natural gas prices have been volatile in the past, and can ramp up very quickly as supply and demand tighten due to such factors as the economy, decreased drilling activity, extreme weather, hurricanes, and nuclear reactor shutdowns. The average SaskEnergy commodity rate between 2000 and 2009 was \$6.62/GJ, but ranged to as high as \$9.50/GJ at the height of the economic boom.

Supply contracts are typically renewed in June or July for the following natural gas year. In order to take advantage of the current low rates, the Administration has developed and begun to execute a strategy of securing 40% of natural gas through long-term contracts and 60% through annual contracts. This strategy is intended to hedge against the possibility of rising gas prices yet realize savings from current low annual rates. The following table summarizes the strategy and status of implementation.

Percentage of Natural Gas Requirement	Company Contracted	Status
60%	Connect Energy	Contract Signed for a 1-year Term Starting November 1, 2010
23%	Connect Energy	Contract Signed for a 4-year Term Starting November 1, 2010
17%	SaskEnergy	No Contract Yet in Place

In total, based on the contracts with Connect Energy already in place, the Administration expects natural gas costs will be \$170,000 lower for the 2010-2011 gas year when compared with the 2009-2010 gas year.

Further savings are expected through negotiations with SaskEnergy for gas supply for the Harry Baily Aquatic Centre and TCU Place. These two accounts, which make up 17% of the City's natural gas requirements, qualify for special programs offered by SaskEnergy. Annual savings are expected to be in the order of \$35,000 for these two facilities alone. The Administration is

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 19**

currently negotiating with SaskEnergy with the intention of securing longer term contracts for these two facilities.

In 2009, the City Manager made an adjustment to the natural gas purchasing responsibilities for the City. Utility Services Department is now responsible for the bulk purchase of natural gas for civic facilities. A team of Utility Services, Infrastructure Services, and Corporate Services staff have worked together on this file to develop the approach outlined in this report.

France Financial Consulting (FFC) is a consulting firm specializing in natural gas purchase strategies. FFC has a long history of helping Saskatchewan industrial companies and institutions with their natural gas purchase strategies. FFC participates with the Saskatchewan Industrial Energy Consumers Association, and has many useful natural gas industry contacts. FFC has been retained to provide natural gas purchase expertise.

OPTIONS

If Council desires an alternate mix of natural gas contract lengths, Administration will modify the strategy accordingly and negotiate with suppliers.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

This strategy is not expected to have any environmental impact; it is simply a financial contract for the supply of natural gas to existing facilities. The quality and quantity of natural gas used by the City is not affected by this strategy. Environmental impacts will be estimated and communicated in reports pertaining to projects that do have an impact on natural gas consumption, such as building boiler upgrades or solar panel installations. The City will be undertaking a more comprehensive review of Civic buildings over the next 10 months with the objective to reduce natural gas and electricity consumption, and will report on the results of this review once complete.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-201, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F2) Capital Project #0876 – US – Regional Waste Management Facility
Equipment Storage and Maintenance Facility
Engineering Services - Contract Approval
(Files CK. 600-8 and WT.-7970-53)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal for providing engineering services for the design, tender, and construction management of the Waste Management Centre Equipment Storage & Maintenance Facility, from Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd., for a total upset fee of \$158,400 (exclusive of taxes) be accepted; and,
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The current storage facilities at the Waste Management Centre are inadequate to properly store and maintain landfill equipment. Some equipment currently needs to be parked outdoors. The Landfill equipment fleet currently consists of: two Caterpillar 826 compactors, two Caterpillar 973 tracked loaders, one leased Caterpillar 973 tracked loader, a single-axle water truck, and a bobcat. Capital Project #0876 – US – Regional Waste Management Facility, provides funding to construct a new Equipment Storage and Maintenance Facility. Past capital budgets have funded this project to the amount of \$1,050,000.

REPORT

A Terms of Reference was drafted outlining the requirements for an Equipment Storage and Maintenance Facility that fulfills the needs of the Waste Management Centre. Consulting engineering firms were invited to submit proposals regarding the provision of engineering services including a site geotechnical investigation, a facility preliminary design, Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) review, detailed design to LEED standards, tendering, and construction management. Responses were received from the following firms:

- Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd.

Following a rated criteria evaluation by Environmental Services Branch project management engineers, the proposal submitted by Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd. was rated as most favourable for the project.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 21**

OPTIONS

No other options are proposed.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The upset fee for engineering services for the project, and the net cost to the City would be as follows:

Basic Upset Fee	\$140,668.00
Contingency	7,032.00
Disbursements	<u>10,700.00</u>
Subtotal	\$158,400.00
P.S.T. (on 30% of design (\$31,051))	1,552.56
G.S.T. @ 5%	<u>7,920.00</u>
Total Upset Fee	\$167,872.56
G.S.T. Rebate @ 5%	<u>(7,920.00)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$159,952.56</u>

Capital Project #0876 has sufficient funding to cover the costs for the engineering services for the design, tender, and construction management of the Waste Management Centre Equipment Storage and Maintenance Facility.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The facility is to incorporate sustainable design and construction practices that seek to reduce the energy and resource consumption of the building. Environmentally conscious building and sustainable design practices that reduce demand on infrastructure and provide long-term cost savings will be pursued.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Section G – CITY MANAGER

**G1) Capital Project 993 – Circle Drive South
Extension of Owner’s Engineering Services
(Files CK. 6050-9 and CC. 6050-8)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the extension of the Owner’s Engineering Services contract with Stantec Consulting Ltd., for the Circle Drive South Project, at an estimated total cost of \$3,850,000 to the end of 2010, be approved;
 - 2) that the engineering services work be funded from Capital Project 993 – Circle Drive South; and,
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary extension to the Owner’s Engineering Services contract for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

At its meeting held on December 15, 2008, City Council approved the contract with Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) for the Owner’s Engineering Services for the Circle Drive South Project and requested that the Administration report back on an annual basis the total expenditures to date under the contract and an estimated cost of the services for the upcoming year (Attachment 1).

The Owner’s Engineering Services contract assists the Administration in setting up the documentation and process for the design build tenders and will assist in meeting the requirements for the agreements with the federal and provincial governments. It will also include contract administration, design review, and quality assurance and control of the design build contract.

Stantec has provided excellent performance in meeting its duties, and therefore is being recommended for continuation of the work. Furthermore, Stantec has provided additional engineering services that had not been contemplated in the initial agreement. The costs for the additional work to date are \$1,089,000, as indicated below:

- subcontracting the preliminary geotechnical investigation work to Golder Associates
- soil and groundwater investigations
- Mitchell’s demolition tender and project management
- traffic noise studies

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 23**

- environmental and health risk assessments
- Circle Drive and Clancy Drive functional plan
- miscellaneous engineering studies for road and utility changes associated with the project

The services of the Owner's Engineer will be utilized over the entire project duration, to be completed by the end of 2013.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The original estimate for all of the work with Stantec was \$4,500,000 for the life of the project. The additional work that has been added to the commission is estimated at \$1,300,000 for a revised estimate of \$5,800,000.

The Circle Drive South Owner's Engineering Contract estimate to the end of 2010 is \$3,850,000. The estimate is based upon the work already completed from 2008 to mid 2010 and for work required for the remainder of 2010 from Stantec.

Funding for the Circle Drive South Owner's Engineering Services has been provided within Capital Project 993 – Circle Drive South, as well as from our partners, the federal and provincial governments.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Report to City Council – Capital Project 993 – Circle Drive South Award of Owner's Engineering Services, dated December 10, 2008

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 12-2010

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

- B1) Council and Committee Procedure Bylaw
(File No. CK. 225-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8881.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting held on December 15, 2008 resolved to disband the Access Transit Advisory Committee. Attached, please find Bylaw No. 8881 which amends the Council and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 24**

Committee Procedure Bylaw, 2003 to delete any references to the Access Transit Advisory Committee.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8881, The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2010.

**B2) Requesting Extension of Agreement
(Files CK. 1920-1 and CS. 1920-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8883.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting on August 18, 2010, resolved to renew its agreement with Mr. Brian Sutherland providing for a fixed rate of taxation on certain farm land owned by Mr. Sutherland in the City of Saskatoon. The original agreement covered the period 2005 to 2009 inclusive. Council resolved to renew the agreement for a further period of five years commencing in the 2010 taxation year.

Farm land taxation agreements must be authorized by bylaw. Similarly, a renewal of any such agreement must also be authorized by bylaw.

We are pleased to enclose for Council's consideration Bylaw No. 8883, The Brian Robert Sutherland Farm Land Fixed Rate of Taxation Renewal Bylaw, 2010. The Bylaw authorizes His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk to sign the renewal agreement appended as Schedule "A" to the Bylaw. The Agreement renews the original agreement with Mr. Sutherland for a further period of five years commencing in 2010. As a result, Mr. Sutherland's property will be taxed at a fixed rate, so that the tax levy after applying the fixed rate of taxation will be equivalent to the taxes that would be payable on the property if the dwelling and other improvements used exclusively in connection with the agricultural operation were exempt from taxation on the terms and conditions similar to section 293 of *The Municipalities Act*.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 25**

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8883, The Brian Robert Sutherland Farm Land Fixed Rate of Taxation Renewal Bylaw, 2010.

- B3) Proposed Expansion of the City Park Residential Parking Program Boundary
700 Block of 3rd Avenue; 800 Blocks of 4th, 5th, 8th and 9th Avenues; and
1000 Block of King Crescent
(File No. CK. 6120-4-4)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider proposed Bylaw No. 8884.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on August 13, 2010, adopted Clause E7, Administrative Report No. 13-2010 and instructed the City Solicitor to prepare an amendment to Bylaw No. 7862, The Residential Parking Program Bylaw, 1999, Schedule "A", to include the 700 block of 3rd Avenue; 800 blocks of 4th, 5th, 8th and 9th Avenues; and the 1000 block of King Crescent in the City Park Residential Parking Program.

The attached Bylaw makes the required amendment to Schedule "A".

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8884, The Residential Parking Program Amendment Bylaw, 2010.

REPORT NO. 13-2010 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor T. Paulsen

1. Communications to Council

From: Basil Stewart, President, FCM
Date: May 13, 2010
Subject: Proposed New Wastewater Regulations
(File No. CK. 155-2 x 7800-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

City Council considered the above-noted communication at its meeting held on May 25, 2010 and passed a motion that the general matter of wastewater regulations be referred to the Administration and Finance Committee, and that the information be provided to Council representatives on FCM.

In this regard, your Committee is forwarding the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated August 10, 2010, to City Council as information.

REPORT NO. 1-2010 OF THE FIREFIGHTERS' PENSION FUND TRUSTEES

Composition of Committee

Mr. Murray Gronsdal, Chair
Mr. David Rumpel, Vice-Chair
His Worship, the Mayor
Mr. Tim Leier
Mr. Bruce Siemens
Mr. Rob Hogan

**1. Actuarial Valuation Report as at December 31, 2009
Firefighters' Pension Plan
(File No. CK. 4730-4-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Actuarial Valuation Report for the Firefighters' Pension Plan as at December 31, 2009 be filed with both the provincial and federal regulators; and
 - 2) that an election for temporary solvency relief be made to the Superintendent of Pensions of Saskatchewan, by submission of the draft letter attached.

ADOPTED.

The Firefighters' Pension Fund Trustees considered the attached actuarial valuation report for the Plan as at December 31, 2009, (Attachment A), at a special meeting held on August 18, 2010. The Trustees were made aware of the unfunded liability and solvency deficiency issues that face the Plan and needed to make a determination on the following questions:

- 1) Should an actuarial valuation be filed for the Plan as at December 31, 2009?

During the discussion on this matter, the Trustees noted:

- a) The last Actuarial Valuation for the Plan which was filed with the regulators was prepared as at December 31, 2007. Mandatory filing for these reports is required every three years and therefore the next one would be due for filing on an Actuarial Valuation as at December 31, 2010 (i.e. filing would be required by September 30, 2011);
[The valuation as at December 31, 2009 revealed a zero balance for the going-concern position, and a solvency deficiency of \$20M.]
 - b) That if a valuation for the Plan is not filed as at December 31, 2009, the Plan will be required to file a valuation as at December 31, 2010, at which time it is expected that a contribution rate increase would be required to address the expected deficiencies at that time.
- 2) Should an election for temporary solvency relief be made?

On May 26, 2009, the Saskatchewan government announced that temporary solvency relief will be provided to all defined benefit pension plans registered in Saskatchewan in the form of a three year moratorium on solvency payments. Plan sponsors will have the option of applying for solvency relief for valuation dates between December 31, 2008 and January 1, 2011. It should be noted that an application for relief must be submitted and accepted by the Saskatchewan Superintendent. Certain conditions must be followed once an application for solvency relief is accepted:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 28**

- Written notice of the solvency relief application must be provided to all plan members.
- Should a going-concern surplus exist during the moratorium, these surplus assets cannot be used towards a contribution holiday.
- Plan improvements are not allowed during the moratorium period.
- If a plan is wound-up, the plan sponsor is required to fund any solvency deficiencies that exist at the plan termination date.

With respect to the Plan, a valuation may be prepared and filed on either of December 31, 2009 or December 31, 2010. In each case, the solvency moratorium would be applicable for the three year period following the valuation date.

As outlined in the valuation report for the Plan as at December 31, 2009, the Plan had a solvency deficiency of approximately \$20M. In order to meet minimum funding requirements as prescribed by provincial legislation, this deficiency would need to be amortized (i.e. paid for) over a period of no more than 5 years and would translate into an increase in both the employee and City contribution rates of 7.65% each side (i.e. total contribution increase of 15.3%).

Being aware that this level of contribution rate increase would be detrimental to both Plan members and the City, the Trustees passed a motion to recommend that an election for solvency relief be made. Attached is a draft letter requesting solvency relief which would need to accompany the valuation as at December 31, 2009, (Attachment B), if City Council approves a decision to file for solvency relief.

Upon review of all issues, the Trustees unanimously agreed to forward the recommendations on Page 1 of this report to City Council for approval.

REPORT NO. 12-2010 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor B. Pringle
Councillor G. Wyant

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 29**

**1. River Landing Replacement Reserve
(File No. CK. 4129-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the establishment of a River Landing Replacement Reserve be approved, in principle.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the City Manager dated August 5, 2010, recommending approval, in principle, for the establishment of a River Landing Replacement Reserve.

**2. Request to Sell City-Owned Property
B3 Commercial Property – Blairmore Suburban Centre
7.59 Acres Facing Betts Avenue
Parcels H, J and K, Plan 101908964
(FILE No. CK. 4215-1, CK. 4110-32 and LA. 4220-08-1)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to sell Parcels H, J and K, Plan 101908964, in the Blairmore Suburban Centre to the highest bidder through a public tender process, with a reserve bid price of \$5,793,500, plus applicable taxes;
- 2) that Parcels H, J and K, Plan 101908964 be offered as a group of three;
- 3) that Parcels H, J and K, Plan 101908964 be sold without a timeline to build requirement and without architectural controls;
- 4) that if the parcels H, J and K, Plan 101908964 are not sold through the tender process, they be made available for sale over-the-counter as a group on a first-come, first-served basis; and
- 5) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary documentation to complete the sales by public tender.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on July 21, 2010, considered the attached copy of Clause A3, Administrative Report No. 12-2010 regarding the above, and resolved that the matter be referred to In Camera Executive Committee for further review.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 30**

As requested, your Committee and Administration have undertaken a further review and submits the following:

“REPORT

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval from City Council to sell the three commercial parcels on the east side of Betts Avenue (Parcels H, J and K, Plan 101908964) in the Blairmore Suburban Centre by public tender.

A number of parties have recently expressed an interest in purchasing either one or more of these sites for commercial development. Interest in these sites has increased significantly as development in the area has progressed and as traffic through the area continues to increase. Drive by traffic is very important for a commercial development to succeed. With the interest that has been shown in these sites over the past two months and the number of projects already underway in the area, the Land Branch is now recommending that these sites be made available for sale by public tender.

Land Value

It is recommended that reserve bid prices be established for these sites. When the sites were previously offered for sale, prices were determined using the average of two independent appraisals. The resulting price for the three sites was based on \$15.37 per square foot (\$669,517 per acre). These appraisals were conducted in 2008 and no longer reflect the current market value for the land due to the rapid growth experienced in the area. Based on unsolicited offers that have been received for the sites, and a complete review of recent comparative sales by the Land Branch, it is recommended that the reserve bid price be based on \$17.50 per square foot (\$762,300 per acre) for the sale of all three parcels sold as one package. The reserve bid price would therefore be:

Parcel H	3.09 acres	Reserve Bid: Total (all three): \$5,793,500
Parcel J	3.07 acres	
Parcel K	1.44 acres	
Total	7.60 acres	

Timeline to Build/Architectural Style

It is recommended the public tender does not include a timeline to build requirement. The purchaser would be free to develop the sites on their own timeline as market conditions warrant. There are a number of benefits associated with selling the parcels without a timeline to build requirement, including:

- Having fewer restrictions on bidders will decrease the amount of risk for the developer and thereby increase the amount of money they are willing to bid to buy the land; and

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010

PAGE 31

- Having more freedom to build out the sites based on market conditions may also increase the number of developers willing to take on the project, which may also have a positive effect on bid prices.

With regard to architectural style, the Land Branch has not applied architectural controls to suburban commercial land developed in the past. Commercial developments tend to already have a variety of setbacks material and colour, and typically do not need further controls. Modern commercial architecture tends to be set largely by the retail chains, with some upgrades being added by the commercial developer of the site to tie the buildings together to fit into their architectural theme. Within the past decade it has been noticed that successive commercial developments by design, have exhibited architectural themes or styles which give them a distinguishing opportunity to attract customers. Therefore, it is recommended that Parcels H, J and K be sold without architectural controls.

Selling Parcels as a Group

It is recommended that all three parcels of land be offered for tender together as a group. The benefits of packaging the lots together include:

- The City would receive its full revenue from all parcels faster than if only one or two of the sites are sold and the remaining parcels take longer to sell; and
- There may be better coordination between the sites and a better overall mix of commercial uses resulting in a more comprehensively planned shopping site if one developer is involved in all three parcels.

The tender will be awarded to the highest bidder over the reserve bid price that meets the conditions set out in the tender documents. If there is any uncertainty regarding the bids received, the appropriate reports and recommendations will be provided to City Council.

If these parcels do not sell through the tender process, they will be made available for direct purchase as a group, from the Land Branch.

OPTIONS

The only option would be to not proceed with the sale of the land at this time.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The proceeds from the sale of this land will be deposited into the Property Realized Reserve.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION PLAN

Notice of the public tender will be advertised in The StarPhoenix a minimum of two Saturdays prior to the tender and will be sold pursuant to City Council Policy C09-033 Sale of Serviced City-Owned Lands. The tender will also be posted on the City of Saskatoon Land Branch website. To ensure the national commercial development industry is adequately notified of the tender, advertising of this land tender will also take place in other mediums with wider numbers of subscribers.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy) is not required.”

**3. Planning for Growth Program
(File No. CK. 1860-1)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the City of Saskatoon, in partnership with the R.M. of Corman Park, the City of Martensville, and the Towns of Warman and Osler, submit an application to the Planning for Growth program for the purpose of conducting regional baseline studies; and
- 2) that the City of Saskatoon contribute \$25,000 toward the regional baseline studies, with the source of funding being the 2009 year-end surplus.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Manager dated September 1, 2010:

“REPORT

In September 2009, the City of Saskatoon, the Saskatchewan City Mayors’ Caucus, the Saskatchewan Association of Rural Municipalities, and the Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association started working with the federal and provincial governments to secure funding and develop criteria towards establishing a program that would provide for a more coordinated approach to regional planning and development in Saskatchewan, particularly around city regions. On June 18, 2010, the Government of Canada and the Government of Saskatchewan held a joint news conference in Saskatoon to officially announce funding for the Planning for Growth (PFG) program.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 33

The goal of PFG is to enhance the regional planning capacity and establish the best practices to facilitate sustainable growth and development across the province. Administered by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs, this program provides funding for groups of municipalities which are working together to accommodate and encourage economic development and population growth in their regions.

Eligible initiatives include, but are not limited to:

- regional studies; and,
- regional baseline studies/inventories to determine assets for future growth planning, for inclusion into new or existing statutory plans.

Your Administration held a meeting with senior administrators from the R.M. of Corman Park, the City of Martensville, and the Towns of Warman and Osler to develop a plan that all parties would be comfortable with submitting to the PFG program. All participants agreed that the objectives of the proposed study should be to:

- determine the need for regional coordination;
- act as a foundation for developing a collaborative approach to regional growth and sustainability in the broader region; and,
- study the implications of the City of Saskatoon providing wastewater treatment to the region in conjunction with regional land use planning.

The proposed study area will consist of the corridor defined by Highway 11 North, Highway 12, the northern limits of the City of Saskatoon, and Township Road 394, and includes the municipalities mentioned above. In terms of clarity and simplicity, the study will be known as the “Corman Park/Saskatoon/Martensville/Warman/Osler Corridor Study”. This specific area of the region was chosen because it has the highest density and has experienced the most rapid growth in the last five years. As noted in a previous report to Executive Committee (Attachment 1), the ultimate goal, or deliverable, from this exercise is to provide the participating municipalities with relevant information so that they can make informed decisions regarding the implementation of some form of regional planning and regional servicing for the study area.

In terms of funding for the entire PFG program, \$600,000 is being provided by the federal government through the Gas Tax Fund, while Enterprise Saskatchewan is providing an additional \$500,000. The maximum amount of funding that the City of Saskatoon and its municipal partners may receive from the program for this particular study is \$50,000. However, the City and its partners can invest whatever amount they deem acceptable.

In order to ensure affordability for all municipalities, the participants agreed that a \$100,000 investment would be required to start the process. As such, the parties resolved that, subject to the approval of their respective Councils, the City of Saskatoon would invest \$25,000, while an additional \$25,000 would be split among the other four municipalities. The remaining \$50,000 would come from the PFG program.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 34**

If City Council approves the recommendation in this report, then the City of Saskatoon, as the sponsoring municipality, will apply for funding on behalf of the participating municipalities. As stipulated in the Planning for Growth Program Guidelines (Attachment 2), in order to be eligible for funding, the sponsoring municipality must:

- attach letters of support from each participating municipality, specifying their individual financial contribution; and,
- attach a resolution from the Council of each participating municipality.

Administration has been in contact with the participating municipalities and indications are that their respective Councils support the project.

In terms of the next step, the goal is to submit our application for the first funding intake. The deadline to submit applications for the first intake is September 30, 2010. The proposal will then be reviewed by the PFG Management Committee and if our proposal is successful, then we will be contacted within 30 days of the intake closing date. Once the project is approved, the following actions will be taken:

- The City and the participating municipalities will issue a tender for a consultant to conduct the proposed work;
- A Funding Agreement will be signed by the sponsoring municipality (the City) and Municipal Affairs; and,
- Funds will be dispersed in two equal payments. The first payment will be made upon signing of the Agreement, and the second in conjunction with the Gas Tax 2011/12 Installment 1 (estimated to occur in June 2011).

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

No policy implications are identified.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

In order to begin this process and, in turn, receive funding from the PFG program, the City of Saskatoon will be required to invest \$25,000 to undertake the Corman Park/Saskatoon/Martensville/Warman/Osler Corridor Study. This contribution will be funded through the 2009 year-end surplus.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

At this time, no environmental implications are identified.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Planning for Growth Program Guidelines.””

His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) Chief Brian Bentley, General Manager, Fire and Protective Services, dated August 19

Requesting Council proclaim October 3 – 9, 2010, as Fire Prevention Week in Saskatoon and requesting approval for a temporary road closure of the southbound lanes of Diefenbaker Drive, between 22nd Street and Laurier Drive from 6:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. on October 5, 2010, for Fire Prevention Week activities. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council proclaim October 3 – 9, 2010, as Fire Prevention Week in Saskatoon;
 - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council; and
 - 3) that the request for temporary road closure of the southbound lanes of Diefenbaker Drive, between 22nd Street and Laurier Drive from 6:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. on October 5, 2010, be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

- 1) *that City Council proclaim October 3 – 9, 2010, as Fire Prevention Week in Saskatoon;*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council; and*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 36**

- 3) *that the request for temporary road closure of the southbound lanes of Diefenbaker Drive, between 22nd Street and Laurier Drive from 6:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. on October 5, 2010, be approved subject to Administrative conditions.*

CARRIED.

2) **George and Charlotte Rosenberg, dated August 17**

Submitting concerns regarding the median opening on Preston Avenue at Armistice Way and safety concerns at the intersection of Preston Avenue and Adelaide Street. (File No. CK. 6320-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) **Bonnie Lapierre, dated August 23**

Requesting more parking surrounding complex located at 2619 Broadway Avenue.

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Pringle, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration to report to the Planning and Operations Committee.

CARRIED.

4) **Kevin Tobin, dated August 23**

Submitting concerns regarding the hooding of parking meters during the Saskatchewan Jazz Festival. (File No. CK. 6120-3) (Attached for Council's information, is a copy of Clause 5, Report No. 13-2010 of the Planning and Operations Committee which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on August 18, 2010.)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 37**

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

**5) Sheldon Wasylenko, Chair
Sutherland Business Improvement District, dated July 21**

Advising that Lorraine Ritchie be replaced as the Sutherland Business Improvement District representative on the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee. (File No. CK. 225-18)

RECOMMENDATION: that Sheldon Wasylenko replace Lorraine Ritchie as the Sutherland Business Improvement District representative on the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Sheldon Wasylenko replace Lorraine Ritchie as the Sutherland Business Improvement District representative on the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee.

CARRIED.

C. INFORMATION ITEMS

1) V. Romancia, dated August 10

Submitting comments regarding regulation of vehicle and motorcycle noise. (File No. CK. 375-2)

2) Tina Boyle, dated August 17

Submitting comments regarding the motorcycle noise issue. (File No. CK. 375-2)

3) Arnold McKenzie, dated August 17

Submitting comments regarding the motorcycle noise issue. (File No. CK. 375-2)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 38**

4) Patrick Davis, dated August 17

Submitting comments regarding the motorcycle noise issue and driving etiquette in the city. (File No. CK. 375-2 x6320-1)

5) Amy Noakes, dated August 17

Submitting comments regarding motorcycle noise. (File No. CK. 375-2)

6) Fran Seidler, dated August 9

Submitting a copy of a letter submitted to the Environmental Services Branch commenting on garbage collection in back lanes. (File No. CK. 7830-3)

7) Dana Soonias, CEO, Wanuskewin Heritage Park, dated July 15

Submitting the 2009-2010 Annual Report for Wanuskewin Heritage Park. (File No. CK. 430-1)

8) Dwayne Sabulsky, dated August 15

Submitting comments regarding traffic at Fairlight Drive and 22nd Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

9) Evan Young, dated August 15

Submitting comments regarding vandalism in parks and walkways. (File No. CK. 5400-1)

10) Shelley Pillipow, dated August 14

Submitting comments regarding backyard fire pits. (File No. CK. 2500-6)

11) Andrea Miller, dated August 13

Submitting comments regarding safety in the city. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

12) Kevin Lysyshyn, dated August 23

Submitting comments regarding construction around his home. (File No. CK. 6000-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 39**

13) Michael Bonokoski, dated August 25

Submitting comments regarding traffic congestion. (File No. CK. 6050-8)

14) Bob Gawdun, dated August 25

Submitting appreciation to Saskatoon Police Service regarding the handling of traffic on 8th Street following "Cruise Night". (File No. CK. 205-1)

15) Brennon Dulle, dated August 26

Submitting comments regarding the outdoor pool closures. (File No. CK. 613-1)

16) Michael Peters, dated August 26

Submitting comments regarding infrastructure inspection in the city. (File No. CK. 6050-1)

17) Shirley Jennings, dated August 26

Submitting comments regarding the Traffic Bridge closure and the Fireworks Festival. (File No. CK. 205-1)

18) Irving Josephson, dated August 26

Submitting comments regarding the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8)

19) Martin Kent, Chairman, Organization of Canadian Traffic Safety, undated

Submitting comments regarding traffic safety. (File No. CK. 150-1)

20) Ken Achs, President, Mid-West Development (2000) Corp., dated August 19

Submitting comments regarding problems in the downtown and north end areas. (File No. CK. 5400-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 40**

21) Patricia Smith, dated September 3

Commenting on difficulties encountered with traffic after the fireworks display. (File No. CK. 6122-1)

22) Barb Comeault, dated September 4

Commenting on lack of parking on 3rd Avenue and 23rd Street East. (File No. CK. 6120-3 & 7311-1)

23) Irving Josephson, dated September 4

Commenting on proposed Art Gallery of Saskatchewan. (File No. CK. 4129-15)

24) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated August 18

Providing Notice of Hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 1406 – 11th Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

25) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated August 24

Providing Notice of Hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 1032 – 8th Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

26) Doug Ramage, dated August 30

Commenting on proposed bylaw restricting loud motorcycles. (File No. CK. 375-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 41**

D. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Dave Riggs, dated August 11

Submitting comments regarding the shortfalls in revenues due to the condition of Cairns Field. (File No. CK. 4205-7-3) **(Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.)**

2) Samantha Whitehead, dated August 12

Request for yield sign at the intersection of Avenue G North and 36th Street. (File No. 6250-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

3) Susan Friesen, dated August 12

Submitting comments regarding pet licensing. (File No. CK. 152-1) **(Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.)**

4) John Parry, dated August 12

Requesting permission to address the Traffic Safety Committee. (File No. CK. 225-8) **(Referred to Traffic Safety Committee for further handling.)**

5) Donald Gorin, dated August 15

Submitting comments regarding the resurfacing of roads in Confederation Park. (File No. CK. 6315-1) **(Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.)**

6) Cathy Brown, dated August 16

Submitting comments regarding parking during the Fringe Festival. (File No. CK. 6120-4) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

7) Amanda Matic, dated August 16

Submitting comments regarding the audible pedestrian signal near her apartment. (File No. CK. 6250-1) **(Referred to the Administration for appropriate action.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 42**

8) Kristina Jonsson, dated August 17

Submitting comments regarding the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

9) Karin Proctor, dated August 24

Submitting comments regarding impounding of vehicles. (File No. CK. 6120-6) **(Referred to the Administration for review.)**

10) Melanie Kenny, dated August 25

Requesting yield signs on Dufferin Avenue. (File No. CK. 6280-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

11) Raj Randhawa, dated August 26

Submitting comments regarding traffic flow during peak times. (File No. CK. 6050-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

12) Natalie Arntsen, dated August 25

Submitting comments regarding traffic flow on College Drive. (File No. CK. 6295-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

13) Rev. Lorraine Trout, Centre for Spiritual Living Saskatoon, dated August 23

Submitting comments regarding a temporary road closure for an event. (File No. CK. 205-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

14) Cindi Pederson, dated August 16

Submitting comments regarding Clarence Avenue access from the University Bridge. (File No. CK. 6295-1) **(Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 43**

15) Kim Ennis and Bris Flanagan, dated August 12

Requesting to purchase right-of-way for garden space. (File No. CK. 4000-1) **(Referred to the Administration for appropriate action.)**

16) V. Romancia, dated August 29

Submitting comments regarding parking on Alberta Avenue. (File No. CK. 6120-2) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

17) Usha Rani, dated August 29

Requesting a bus shelter on Main Street bus route. (File No. CK. 7311-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

18) Dave and Judy Bereza, dated August 29

Submitting second letter concerning zoning in Montgomery. (File No. CK. 4350-1) **(Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.)**

19) Catherine Parkes, dated September 2

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

20) Howard Stensrud, dated August 31

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

21) Wendy Hiibner, dated August 31

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 44**

22) Michael McEwen, dated September 2

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

23) Debbie Parkes, dated September 3

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

24) Jenel Anderson, dated September 3

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**25) Peter Gerard, Executive Director, Cosmopolitan Industries and
Dwight Grayston, General Manager, Saskatoon Curbside Recycling,
dated September 3**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

26) Bryan Smith, dated August 27

Commenting on the future of the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

27) Kelvon Smith, dated August 28

Commenting on the condition of a billboard promoting Saskatoon on the Trans-Canada Highway. (File No. CK. 366-1) **(Referred to the Administration for appropriate action.)**

28) Laurel Marsh, dated September 1

Commenting on proposed white water/hydro project. (File No. CK. 2300-1) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 45**

29) Bruno Raeber, dated September 3

Asking permission to use a quad in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to City Solicitor for a report.)**

30) Wilf Martin, dated September 1

Following up with respect to parking permits for residents near Marion Graham Collegiate. (File No. CK. 6120-4) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

31) Clyde Hill, dated September 2

Requesting information on playground upgrades for Confederation Park School. (File No. CK. 4205-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

32) Dennis Lees, dated September 4

Commenting on difficulties encountered traveling by wheelchair at Preston Crossing. (File No. CK. 6150-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

33) Juli and Curtis Yuzdepski, dated September 6

Requesting an overhead pedestrian walkway sign be placed at Cumberland and 3rd Street East. (File No. CK. 6150-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

34) Robin East, dated September 7, 2010

Requesting permission to address the Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee. (File No. CK. 6150-3-1) **(Referred to Accessibility Advisory Committee.)**

35) Colin Chatfield, dated September 7

Commenting on the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 46**

36) Darlene MacPherson, dated September 7

Requesting traffic control measures be implemented on Stensrud Road. (File No. CK. 6320-1)
(Referred to Administration for consideration.)

37) Brian Kraft, dated September 7

Suggesting the City's website include a map showing traffic detours. (File No. CK. 6315-1)
(Referred to Administration for consideration.)

38) Brian Kraft, dated September 7

Commenting on railway track crossings on Millar Avenue. (File No. CK. 6170-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

39) Lorna Donda, dated September 7

Requesting support for Parents Forever; a group for parents who have intellectual difficulties. (File No. CK. 1870-3) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer as to whether the organization qualifies under any grant program.)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

E. PROCLAMATIONS

**1) Nancy Carmichael, Community Health Services (Saskatoon) Association Ltd.,
dated August 18**

Requesting Council proclaim October 5, 2010, as Day of Action for Pedestrian Health and Safety in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 47**

2) Vanessa Charles, Saskatoon Anti Poverty Coalition, dated August 24

Requesting Council proclaim October 17 – 24, 2010, as Poverty Awareness Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**3) Arla Gustafson and Rod Antonichuk, Co-Chairs
AFP National Philanthropy Day 2010, dated August 27**

Requesting Council proclaim November 15, 2010, as National Philanthropy Day in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**4) Kevin Kitchen, Community Initiatives Section Manager
Community Services Department, dated August 20**

Requesting Council proclaim September 20 – 26, 2010, as Culture Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

5) Michael Rosen, President, Tree Canada, dated August 12

Requesting Council proclaim September 22, 2010, as Maple Leaf Day in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

6) Gail McCallum, Saskatchewan Abilities Council, dated August 18

Requesting Council proclaim September 28, 2010, as Saskatchewan Abilities Council Day in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**7) Joanne Dwernychuk, Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation
CIBC Run for the Cure Committee, dated August 16**

Requesting Council proclaim September 13 – 17, 2010, as Think Pink Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

8) Janelle Aker-Johnston, Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation, dated August 11

Requesting Council proclaim October 2010 as Breast Cancer Awareness Month in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 48**

9) Joanne Fedyk, Executive Director, SWRC, dated August 31

Requesting Council proclaim October 18 to 24, 2010 as Waste Reduction Week in Saskatoon.
(File No. CK. 205-5)

**10) David Reid, Canadian and Saskatchewan Junior Hereford Association,
dated September 6**

Requesting Council proclaim July 27 to 30, 2011, as Hereford Week in Saskatoon.
(File No. CK. 205-5)

11) Don Head, Commissioner, Correction Services Canada, undated

Requesting Council proclaim November 14 to 21, 2010, Restorative Justice Week.
(File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E; and
 - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

- 1) *that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E; and*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8875

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8875, being “The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 10)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 49**

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8875 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8875.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8875 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8875 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 50**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8875 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8876

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8876, being “The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 11)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8876 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8876.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8876 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 51**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8876 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8876 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8877

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8877, being “The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 12)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8877 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 52**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8877.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8877 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8877 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8877 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 53**

Bylaw 8881

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8881, being “The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2010” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8881 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8881.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8881 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 54**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8881 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8881 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8882

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8882, being “The Street Closing Bylaw, 2010 (No. 13)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8882 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8882.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 55**

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8882 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8882 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8882 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8883

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8883, being "The Brian Robert Sutherland Farm Land Fixed Rate of Taxation Renewal Bylaw, 2010" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 56**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8883 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8883.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8883 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8883 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8883 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 57**

Bylaw 8884

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8884, being “The Residential Parking Program Amendment Bylaw, 2010” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8884 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8884.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8884 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 58**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8884 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8884 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The meeting recessed at 6:40 p.m. and reconvened at 7:00 p.m. with His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

HEARINGS

- 7a) Discretionary Use – Parking Station
Lots 3 and 4, Block 2, Plan No. I5611
100/02 – 109th Street West
Sutherland Neighbourhood
Applicant: MDK Holdings Ltd.
(File No. CK. 4355-010-7)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application.

The City Planner has advised that notification posters have been placed on site and letters have been sent to all adjacent landowners within 75 meters of the site.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 14, 2010, recommending that the application submitted by MDK Holdings Ltd. requesting permission to use the property located at 102 – 109th Street West for the purpose of a parking station be approved subject to the following conditions:
 - 1) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits and licenses; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 59**

- 2) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans supplied for this Discretionary Use Application, including fencing, landscaping, and signs; and
- Letter dated July 28, 2010, from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above recommendation.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the discretionary use application and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, advised that the Commission supports the recommendations of the Administration, but with the installation of masonry fencing along the west property line, rather than a wood fence.

Mr. Mike Katsiris, proponent, provided a description of the proposed parking station and asked for Council’s approval of the Administration’s recommendations. He indicated that he did not support the installation of a masonry fence.

Ms. Paula Tanner, resident next to the proposed parking station at 104 – 109th Street, indicated that the parking station is located only three feet from her property and asked for a masonry wall to provide a better sound barrier and overall protection of her property.

Mr. Katsiris addressed the fencing issues indicating that cost comparisons between wood and masonry would not be viable for his budget.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 60**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the application by MDK Holdings Ltd. requesting permission to use the property located at 102 – 109th Street West for the purpose of a parking station be denied.

DEFEATED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the application submitted by MDK Holdings Ltd. requesting permission to use the property located at 102 – 109th Street West for the purpose of a parking station be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits and licenses; and*
- 2) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans supplied for this Discretionary Use Application, including a six foot masonry fence, or masonry-like fence with similar sound-attenuation and privacy qualities, landscaping along the street side, and signs.*

CARRIED.

**7b) Discretionary Use Application – Child Care Centre
Lot 18, Block 985, Plan No. 102000777
210 Dawson Crescent
Hampton Village Neighbourhood
Applicant: Angela Congdon and Stacey Cutter
(File No. CK. 4355-010-5)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application.

The City Planner has advised that notification posters have been placed on site and letters have been sent to all adjacent landowners within 75 meters of the site.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 25, 2010, recommending that the application submitted by Angela Congdon and Stacey Cutter requesting permission to use 210 Dawson Crescent for the purpose of a child care centre be approved subject to the following conditions:
 - 1) the child care centre containing a maximum of 32 children;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 61**

- 2) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits, such as Building and Plumbing Permits; and
 - 3) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted for this Discretionary Use Application.
- Letter dated July 28, 2010, from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above recommendation.
 - Letters from the following people:
 - Kim Peterson, Treasurer, Hampton Village Community Association, dated August 23, 2010;
 - Mark Fraser and Sylvia Appleton-Legge, dated July 15, 2010.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:

- *Melissa Randall, dated September 12, 2010, submitting comments;*
- *Michael Mock, dated September 13, 2010, submitting comments; and*
- *Carla Peters, dated September 13, 2010, submitting comments.*

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the discretionary use application and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, advised that the Commission supports the discretionary use application.

Ms. Stacey Cutter and Ms. Angela Congdon, proponents, provided information regarding the child care centre and the need for child care in the area, and asked for Council's support.

Ms. Kim Peterson, Treasurer, and Mr. Matt Ingrouille, President, Hampton Village Community Association, spoke in support of the child care centre indicating that the Association had voted unanimously in support.

Mr. Matthew Peterson spoke in support of the child care centre.

Ms. Brandy Traxsell spoke in support of the child care centre.

Ms. Noelle Dunn spoke in support of the child care centre.

Ms. Chelsea George spoke in support of the child care centre.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 62**

Ms. Karen Costello spoke in support of the child care centre.

Ms. Amber Pearson spoke in support of the child care centre.

Mr. Shawn Flett spoke in support of the child care centre.

Ms. Carley Doyle and Mr. Todd Currie spoke in support of the child care centre.

Mr. Randy Schwartz spoke in support of the child care centre.

Mr. Mark Fraser and Ms. Sylvie Appleton-Legge, residents adjacent to the child care centre, expressed concerns regarding the location of the centre and the associated potential noise issues and asked that it be located elsewhere.

Ms. Cutter and Ms. Congdon responded to the concerns of Mr. Fraser and Ms. Appleton-Legge.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the application submitted by Angela Congdon and Stacey Cutter requesting permission to use 210 Dawson Crescent for the purpose of a child care centre be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1) the child care centre containing a maximum of 32 children;*
- 2) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits, such as Building and Plumbing Permits; and*
- 3) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted for this Discretionary Use Application.*

CARRIED.

- 7c) Proposed Zoning Text Amendment to DCD1
Section 13.1.3.3(b)(i) – Building Form and Massing
Applicant: Kindrachuk Agrey Architecture on behalf of Tonko Realty Advisors Ltd.
Proposed Bylaw No. 8871
(File No. CK. 4350-010-3)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8871.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8871;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 14, 2010, recommending that the application to amend Section 13.1.3.3(b)(i) of the City of Saskatoon Bylaw 8770 (Zoning Bylaw) be approved.
- Letter dated July 5, 2010, from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above recommendation;
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of August 28 and September 4, 2010.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department’s support. He noted an error on page three of the report of the General Manager, Community Services dated June 14, 2010, that the property affected which Tonko Realty Advisors Ltd. plans to develop, should read “southwest corner of 19th Street and 2nd Avenue”.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Mr. Derek Kindrachuk, Kindrachuk Agrey Architects, spoke regarding densification in the area and the need for this Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 64**

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8871.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8871

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8871, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 16)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8871 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8871.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 65**

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8871 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8871 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8871 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 14-2010 – CONTINUED

**B3) Enquiry – Councillor M. Heidt (March 1, 2010)
Licensing of Bicycles
(Files CK. 306-1 and CS. 300-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor M. Heidt at the meeting of City Council held on March 1, 2010:

“With the increased use of bicycles in the city and the continual stealing of the bicycles, would the Administration please bring back a report on cost and administration of a licensing program? This has lead to many infractions and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 66**

putting pedestrians at risk on sidewalks. They have no way of reporting this to the police or bylaw inspectors.”

REPORT

History

Prior to December 31, 1993, the City of Saskatoon had required bicycles to be licensed under General License Bylaw No. 6066 and Bicycle Bylaw No 6884. At its meeting held on November 22, 1993, based on a report of the City Treasurer (Attachment No. 1), City Council adopted the recommendation that bicycle licensing be discontinued.

In summary, the report indicates that at a cost of \$5.00 per license, the City of Saskatoon was experiencing a loss of \$0.21 per license. Further, the licensing information was found to be quickly outdated, and an enhancement to the bicycle licensing system was estimated to cost \$34,375.

Estimated Costs

The costs of implementing a bicycle licensing system would include a capital cost for software development expected to be in the range of \$30,000 - \$50,000 depending upon requirements. The impact on subsequent annual operating budgets would be approximately \$58,000 for salary, benefits and other program overhead.

The Cities Act does allow for the license fee to be set at a rate that covers the cost of the process. In the absence of exact program specifications, the following annual cost analysis, based on estimates, is provided for the purposes of illustration:

Number Sold	5,000	15,000	30,000
Staff position (salary/benefits)	55,000	55,000	110,000
Annual misc. costs	3,000	3,000	6,000
Licenses (@\$1.00 each)	5,000	15,000	30,000
Total cost	63,000	73,000	146,000
Cost per license (Total costs/No. sold)	12.60	4.87	4.87

As indicated, there is a direct correlation between the number of licenses sold and program costs. Included in the projected costs is an additional customer service representative position in the Treasurer’s Branch. The staff complement in that section has not increased in the past ten years despite the increased workload resulting from the growth of Saskatoon, and growth in the Animal Services, Residential Parking, and Parking Enforcement programs. Additional workload has been absorbed through efficiencies gained through process improvement but does not have capacity to manage a new program. Based on the above estimates, if the license fee was to be \$5,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 67**

approximately 15,000 must be sold to recover the costs of the program. *The above estimate does not include the cost of enforcement of potential bicycle licensing bylaw requirements.*

As had been the experience prior to the Bylaw being repealed in 1993, obsolescence of data will continue to be a very difficult problem to overcome. A successful program will require bicycle-owning citizens to believe they will receive value for the license fee.

Objectives of Licensing

One of the perceived benefits of bicycle licensing stated in the above enquiry is that citizens wishing to report an infraction would be able to use the license number to do so. For people wishing to register their bicycles to reduce the risk of theft and increase the probability of recovering a stolen bicycle, there are national services available to the public including BicycleRegistryCanada.com that provide that service.

Infrastructure Services and the Saskatoon Police Service are working together to reduce sidewalk riding in Saskatoon. Infrastructure Services introduced the Sidewalk Friendly education and promotion campaign in 2007 to inform and encourage cyclists that they are required to walk their bicycles on sidewalks. This was followed by the introduction of the Downtown Bicycle Friendly initiative in 2009 which saw the introduction of shared-use lane markings (sharrows) and bike lanes to downtown streets. These measures are aimed at making cycling on the street more attractive, comfortable and safe within our Central Business District. This program is being expanded to the Broadway and Riversdale Business Improvement Districts in 2010.

While these education and ‘encouragement’ efforts have been well received, there remains a need to apply enforcement to cyclists that endanger others and themselves by riding their bicycles on public sidewalks. Saskatoon Police Service understands that need and is actively enforcing sidewalk cycling in areas of high pedestrian activity with a particular focus on teen and adult cyclists.

Other Jurisdictions

Your Administration did an informal email survey of Canadian municipalities that are members of the Municipal Service Delivery Organization. Of these, the only jurisdiction that licenses bicycles is the City of Regina. The City of Regina sells a lifetime bike license for \$5 for the purpose of identification in case of loss or theft. Your Administration was unable to obtain details such as a cost/benefit analysis or statistics on the number of cases where offenders have been reported using the bike licensing information. No other jurisdiction that replied to our questions is considering implementing a bicycle licensing program.

OPTIONS

1. City Council may direct Administration to investigate and implement a system and process for bicycle licensing in the City of Saskatoon, requiring a bylaw to be drafted.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 68**

2. City Council may maintain the status quo with no requirement for a Bicycle Licensing Bylaw.

The investigation into the potential benefits of bicycle licensing has not indicated that such a process will reduce the number of incidents of riding bicycles on the sidewalks, or significantly increase the likelihood of having a stolen bicycle returned to its owner. It will, however, require additional human and financial resources to manage, therefore, it is not recommended.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

System development would have a capital budget impact of approximately \$30,000 - \$50,000 with annual operating costs varying depending on the number of licenses sold.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. November 2, 1993, report of the City Treasurer.

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Tom Wolf, dated September 13, 2010, requesting permission to address Council.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Tom Wolf be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Tom Wolf, member, Cycling Advisory Group and Saskatoon Cycles, addressed Council regarding the importance of making the roadways in the city more bike friendly and safe. He indicated that bicycle licensing is not cost effective nor changes cycling behaviour and asked that Council provide direction and budget to the Administration for the establishment of cycling infrastructure.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee for further review.

CARRIED.

**E6) Traffic Bridge Status Update
(Files CK. 6050-8 and IS. 6050-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

BACKGROUND

City Council, at its meeting held on May 25, 2010, approved a recommendation of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, that Stantec Consulting Ltd. be awarded the contract to conduct a Needs Assessment and Functional Planning Study of the Traffic Bridge.

The Study includes an extensive public consultation component, and will assess current traffic and pedestrian and cycling usage; recommend the number of lanes, if it is to remain a traffic bridge; identify what changes would be necessary in order to increase its utility as a traffic bridge; and determine how the bridge might be configured as a transit-only or pedestrian/cyclist-only facility. Each transportation option will have a corresponding structural evaluation to determine detailed cost estimates and a feasibility analysis.

On August 24, 2010, the Administration closed the Traffic Bridge to all traffic based on preliminary inspection results of the bridge in the interest of public safety. The inspection work is being reviewed and will be inputted into the structural model. The bridge is remaining closed as it cannot be certified as safe for public usage.

REPORT

The City of Saskatoon has commissioned Stantec to undertake a Traffic Bridge study which will look at options for the future of the Bridge and its potential to accommodate a variety of pedestrian/bicycle, transit, vehicle and community functions including:

- Detailed traffic analysis and structural assessments;
- Development of various concepts to maintain or modify the current Bridge usage, including cost estimates and a comparison of the advantages/disadvantages of each concept;
- Public Consultation to ensure the public has an opportunity to express their views and help guide the development of potential alternatives (three open houses).

A final report, including recommended option(s), is expected to be presented to City Council in November, 2010.

The first public consultation/open house regarding the future of the Traffic Bridge was held at Nutana Collegiate Library on June 22, 2010, from 6:00 to 9:00 p.m. The come-and-go open house was an information-only event, designed to facilitate an inclusive, balanced and open community engagement. An estimated 250 to 300 people attended, with approximately 125 survey and comment sheets being completed. Approximately 25 boards containing information about the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 70**

Traffic Bridge were available for viewing, and seven boards, with sticky notes, were set up for people to write their comments. These boards are on display on the 3rd floor of City Hall. In addition, an online forum was available on the City's website from June 23 to the end of July in order to solicit a broad range of opinions and to engage as many people as possible. Approximately 420 surveys were completed online. The consultant (Stantec Consulting Ltd. and Fast Consulting) has summarized the results of this first round of public consultation in the attached document (Attachment 1).

The following proposed concepts for the future of the Traffic Bridge have been developed based on the public input already received, traffic analysis, the inspection and the structural analysis.

Option Description

1. **Complete rehabilitation for vehicle, pedestrian and cyclist use:** Maintain the bridge in its existing form and function, providing narrow traffic lanes (2.9 metres) but replace the existing separate pedestrian walkway on the west side of the bridge with two standard width shared walkways (3.0 metres) on both sides. [**Concept probable cost: \$27 million**]
2. **Complete rehabilitation for pedestrian and cyclist use only:** Maintain the bridge in its existing form using the main bridge deck to provide a pedestrian/cyclist linkage. The existing walkway would be removed. [**Concept probable cost: \$23 million**]
3. **Complete rehabilitation for pedestrian and cyclist use only, a “bridge park”:** Maintain the bridge in its existing form using the main bridge deck to provide pedestrian/cyclist linkage with landscaping. The existing walkway would be removed. [**Concept probable cost: \$31 million**]
4. **Replace with a conventionally designed structure (girder and deck) for vehicle, pedestrian and cyclist use:** A new structure providing two standard width traffic lanes (3.7 metres plus 1.4 metre shoulder) and separate 3 metre shared walkways on both sides. Likely a concrete bridge. [**Concept probable cost: \$26 million**]
5. **Replace with a modern steel truss or similar form to the existing bridge for vehicle, pedestrian and cyclist use:** A new structure providing two non-standard width traffic lanes (3.3 metres), no shoulders and separate 3 metre shared walkways on both sides. [**Concept probable cost: \$25 million**]
6. **Replace with an architecturally significant structure for vehicle, pedestrian and cyclist use, a modern “signature bridge”:** A new design providing two standard width traffic lanes (3.7 metres plus 1.4 metre shoulder) and 3 metre shared walkways on both sides. [**Concept probable cost: \$60 million**]

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010

PAGE 71

7. **Replace with a conventionally designed structure (girder and deck) for pedestrian and cyclist use only:** A new structure providing a simple 6 metre pedestrian/cyclist linkage. [Concept probable cost: \$17 million]
8. **Replace with a conventionally designed structure (girder and deck) for pedestrian and cyclist use only with aesthetics and complex structure:** A new structure providing a simple 6 metre pedestrian/cyclist linkage. [Concept probable cost: \$22 million]
9. **Replace with an architecturally significant structure (girder and deck) for pedestrian and cyclist use only, a modern “signature bridge”:** A new design providing a 6 metre pedestrian/cyclist linkage. [Concept probable cost: \$34 million]
10. **Demolish and remove with no replacement.** [Concept probable cost: \$4 million]

Included in these concept estimates is approximately \$4 million to refurbish the piers such that their service life would match the chosen option’s service life. The piers have been assessed as having up to 20 years of serviceable life under similar loading conditions as today. The estimates do not include costs for Saskatoon Light & Power to deal with the existing 15 kV circuit running across the bridge.

The next step in the public consultation process is to provide this set of engineering options for discussion at an open house scheduled for Wednesday, September 15, from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., at Victoria School. A come-and-go format similar to the first open house will be used to gather responses to the eight options, with all the panels, the survey and the opportunity for comments. The online forum will also reopen on September 15 and will remain open until September 30, with the same content and opportunity for response. The link to the Traffic Bridge Forum is via the City of Saskatoon website at www.saskatoon.ca, under “T” for Traffic Bridge Study.

Following the open house, a short-list of recommendations will be developed. A third round of public consultation has been planned to discuss the short-list of recommendations and the transportation consequences of those recommendations. This final event is expected to continue the pattern of the come-and-go open house, with the online forum to follow. A report forwarding recommendations will be submitted to Council for discussion in late October or early November, 2010.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications for the process of examining options for the future of the Traffic Bridge. Any implications for the recommended options will be presented in later reports.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 72**

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Traffic Bridge Public Consultation Preliminary Summary of Results, July 2010.

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek distributed copies of the maintenance history, 2010 detailed inspection, and truss bridge components for the Traffic Bridge

The City Clerk distributed copies of letters from the following:

- *Terry Scaddan, Executive Director, The Partnership, dated September 10, 2010, requesting permission to address Council;*
- *Lenore Swystun, President, Saskatoon Heritage Society, dated September 13, 2010, requesting permission to address Council; and*
- *Peggy Sarjeant, dated September 13, 2010, requesting permission to address Council.*

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Terry Scaddan, Lenore Swystun and Peggy Sarjeant be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Terry Scaddan, Executive Director, The Partnership, addressed Council regarding the Traffic Bridge and the importance of a vehicular, pedestrian and cyclist roadway.

Ms. Lenore Swystun, President, Saskatoon Heritage Society, addressed Council regarding the process and public consultation regarding the future of the Traffic Bridge and asked that Council continue with that process.

Ms. Peggy Sarjeant asked that no decisions be made regarding the future of the Traffic Bridge until the complete public consultation process has been completed.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 73**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT as the Administration moves forward with the Open Houses, only Options 1, 4, 5 and 6 be considered.

*YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Heidt, Lorje, Neault, Penner,
and Wyant* 6

NAYS: Councillors Clark, Dubois, Hill, Paulsen, and Pringle 5

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Jack Adams, dated August 20

Requesting permission to address Council regarding taxi licensing. (File No. CK. 307-4)

RECOMMENDATION: that Jack Adams be heard.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Jack Adams be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Jack Adams spoke regarding the issuance of taxi licenses.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) Lynda Marshall, dated September 2

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to street parking on 3rd Avenue and 23rd Street East.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 74**

RECOMMENDATION: that Lynda Marshall be heard.

His Worship the Mayor noted that Ms. Marshall had withdrawn her request to speak at this time.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) Rachel Engler-Stringer, dated September 2

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to starting an urban chicken pilot project. (File No. CK. 151-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Rachel Engler-Stringer be heard.

4) Andrea Woods-Fehr, dated September 7

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to starting an urban chicken pilot project. (File No. CK. 151-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Andrea Woods-Fehr be heard.

5) Debra Johnston, dated September 7

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to keeping chickens in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 151-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Debra Johnston be heard.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Rachel Engler-Stringer, Scott Woods-Fehr and Debra Johnston be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Rachel Engler-Stringer spoke in support of the creation of an urban chicken pilot project. She referenced the City of Vancouver's bylaw regarding urban hens and provided a copy to City Council.

Mr. Scott Woods-Fehr spoke in support of backyard urban hens.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2010
PAGE 75**

Ms. Debra Johnston spoke in support of backyard chickens with an appropriate bylaw amendment that would allow a pilot project to proceed with a limited number of participants along with appropriate regulations. She provided a petition containing approximately 500 signatures in support of this.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.

DEFEATED.

Moved by Councillor Hill,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 10:35 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk